



MICROCOPY

CHART

AFOSR-TR- 86-0255

AD-A167 345





Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh



ipprotesting in its selected (

86 4 28 033

THE COPY

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE								
14 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED				1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
26. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY				3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
2. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE								
4. PERFORMING OR	GANIZATION I	REPORT NUM	BER(S)	S. MONITORING OR			•	
				AFO	SR-TR-	86-02	55	
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION			Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	76 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
University of Pittsburgh				Air Force Office of Scientific Research				
Sc. ADDRESS (City. S				7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448				
Dept Mathmat Pittsburgh,		tatistics						
								
MAME OF FUNDA ORGANIZATION		NG	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
AFOSR			NM	F49620-85-C-0008				
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)				10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.				
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT NO.	
Bolling AFB				61102F	2304	A5	1	
			OR FOR THE KERNA	L ESTIMATES O	F REGRESSIO	ON FINCTIONS		
12. PERSONAL AUT L.C. ZHAO					1.101.1201.1	<u>on ronorrond</u>		
134 TYPE OF REPORT 135. TIME C				14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) 15. PAGE COUNT		OUNT		
Tech y i cul FROM TO				85 December]5				
17. CO	ATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse if no	rcemary and identi	ly by block number	')	
FIELD GROU	P SU	B. GR.						
			1					
19. ASSTRACT (Con	linue on reverse	if necessary and	identify by block number	7 7 - 4		. 		
		•	1					
I	et (X,Y),	$(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1)$	(X_n, Y_n) be	i.i.d. R ^r ×R-	-valued ran	dom vectors		
with $E[Y] < \infty$, and let $Q_n(x)$ be a kernel estimate of the regression function								
·								
Q(x) = E(Y/X = x). In this paper, we establish an exponential bound of the								
mean deviation between $Q_n(x)$ and $Q(x)$ given the training sample Z^n =								
$(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$, under the conditions as weak as possible.								
1	. 11	11						
20. DISTRIBUTION	20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ASSTRACT				21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED & SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS				UNCLASSIFIED				
224 NAME OF RESP	ONSIBLE INDI	VIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE N		22c. OFFICE SYM	BOL	
Major Brian W. Woodruff				(202) 767-5		NM		

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (APSC)
NOTICE OF TRUNCHTTAL TO DITC
This technic
approved for
Distribution 1. Allentificed.
MATTHEW J. KENPER
Chief, Technical Information Division

EXPONENTIAL BOUNDS OF MEAN ERROR FOR THE KERNAL ESTIMATES OF REGRESSION FUNCTIONS

L. C. ZHAO
Center for Multivariate Analysis
University of Pittsburgh

Technical Report No. 85-50

December 1985

Center for Multivariate Analysis
University of Pittsburgh
515 Thackeray Hall
Pittsburgh, PA 15260



*Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC) under Contract F49620-85-C-0008. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.

ABSTRACT

Let (X,Y), (X_1,Y_1) ,..., (X_n,Y_n) be i.i.d. $R^r \times R$ -valued random vectors with $E|Y| < \infty$, and let $Q_n(x)$ be a kernel estimate of the regression function Q(x) = E(Y|X=x). In this paper, we establish an exponential bound of the mean deviation between $Q_n(x)$ and Q(x) given the training sample $Z^n = (X_1,Y_1,\ldots,X_n,Y_n)$, under the conditions as weak as possible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X,Y), (X_1,Y_1) ,..., (X_n,Y_n) be i.i.d. $R^r \times R$ -valued random vectors with $E|Y| < \infty$. To estimate Q(x) = E(Y|X=x), the regression function of Y with respect to X, Nadaraya (1964) and Watson (1964) proposed a class of kernel estimates of the form

$$Q_{n}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h}) Y_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{n} K(\frac{X_{j}^{-x}}{h}), \qquad (1)$$

where K is a probability density function on R^r , and $h=h_n$ is a sequence of positive numbers. Write

$$W_{ni}(x) = K(\frac{X_i - x}{h}) / \int_{i=1}^{n} K(\frac{X_i - x}{h}),$$
 (2)

we define $W_{ni}(x) = 1/n$, i=1,2,...,n, when 0/0 appears. Many scholars studied convergence problems of these estimates from various points of view. For the universal consistency, one can refer to, for example, Devroye and Wagner (1980I), Spiegelman and Sacks (1980). For the pointwise moment-consistency, see Devroye (1981). For the pointwise a.s. consistency, see Devroye (1981), Greblicki, Krzyzak and Pawlak (1984), Zhao and Fang (1985). In this paper, we study another convergence of these estimates.

Let $Z^n = (X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$ be a training sample, $g_n = g_n(x, Z^n)$ be an estimate of Q(x). In some problems, we are interested in the following mean deviation of g_n given the training sample Z^n :

$$D(g_n) = E\{|g_n(X,Z^n) - Q(X)||Z^n\}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |g_n(x,Z^n) - Q(x)|F(dx),$$
(3)

where F denotes the distribution of X. Devroye and Wagner (1980II) proved

that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}D(Q_n)=0\quad a.s.$$

for the kernel estimates $Q_n(x)$ of Q(x), if the following conditions are satisfied:

(iii) K is bounded and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} \psi(x) dx < \infty,$

where

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{\|u\| > \|x\|} K(u), x \in \mathbb{R}^{r}$$

and ||.|| is the L_2 norm or L_{∞} norm on R^r ,

(iv)
$$h_n \to 0$$
 and $\Sigma_n \exp(-\alpha n h_n^r) < \infty$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

In this paper, we establish an exponential bound for the above mentioned deviation of Q_n . Take $|\cdot|\cdot|$ as L_2 or L_∞ norm, and denote by I(A) or I_A the indicator of set A. We establish the following

Theorem. Let $Q_n(x)$ b a kernel estimate defined by (1). Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) Y is bounded.
- (ii) F, the distribution of X, has a density of f.

(iii) There exist positive constant α and ρ_0 such that

$$K(x) \ge \alpha I(||x|| \le \rho_0), x \in \mathbb{R}^r.$$

(iv) $h\rightarrow 0$ and $nh^{r}\rightarrow \infty$ as $n\rightarrow \infty$.

Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$P\{D(Q_n) \ge \varepsilon\} \le e^{-cn}.$$
 (6)

where C > 0 is a constant independent of n.

Obviously, we need only to give the proof for L_∞ norm. We shall indtroduce some lemmas in section 2, and give a proof of the theorem in section 3.

Accesion For						
NTIS CRA&I DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification						
By						
Availability Codes						
Dist	Avail and/or Special					
A-1						



2. SOME LEMMAS

For simplicity we use the following convention: ε , ε_1 , ε_2 ,...,C, C_0 , C_1 , ..., α , β_1 , β_2 , δ , ect., are all constants independent of n. #(A) denotes the cardinal of set A. $S_{x,\rho} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^r : ||u-x|| \le \rho\}$. F and λ denote the outer measure generated by F and the Lebesque measure λ (on \mathbb{R}^r), respectively. F_n denotes the empirical measure of $X^n = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. We now give four lemmas which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1(Besicovitch Covering Lemma). Let E be a bounded subset of R^r , and let K be a family of cubes covering E which contain a cube D_x with center x for each x \in E. Then there exist points $\{x_k\}$ in E such that

(i)
$$E \subset \bigcup D_{x_k}$$
,

(ii) there exists a constant σ depending only on d such that $\sum_{k} I(D_{x_k}) \leq \sigma.$

For the proof, refer to Wheeden and Zygmund (1977), pp. 185-187.

Lemma 2. Let T > 0 be a given constant. Suppose that F has a density f. Then for any given ϵ > 0, we can choose β_1 > 0 small enough and β_2 > 0 large enough such that the set

$$E^* = \{x \in S_{0,T}; \beta_1(20)^r \le F(S_{x,0}) \le \beta_2(20)^r$$
 (7)

for any $\rho \in (0,1)$

satisfies $F^*(S_{0,T} - E^*) < \epsilon$.

Note that for any Borel-measurable set $E{\subset}E^*$, we have

 $\beta_1 \le f(x) \le \beta_2$, for almost all xéE(with respect to λ).

Proof. Set

$$E_{1} = \{x \in S_{0,T}: Sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \lambda(S_{x,\rho}) / F(S_{x,\rho}) > 1/\beta_{1} \},$$

$$E_{2} = \{x \in S_{0,T}: Sup_{0 < \rho < 1} F(S_{x,\rho}) / \lambda(S_{x,\rho}) > \beta_{2} \}.$$

For any $x \in E_1$ there exists a cube $S_{x,\rho}$ with $\rho \in (0,1)$ such that $\lambda(S_{x,\rho}) > F(S_{x,\rho}) > F(S_{x,\rho})/\beta_1$. By Lemma 1 there exist $x_k \in E_1$ and $S_{x_k,\rho_k} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} S_k$ such that $\lambda(S_k) > F(S_k)/\beta_1$, $E_1 \subseteq \bigcup_k S_k$ and $\sum_k I(S_k) \leq \sigma$. Thus

$$\begin{split} & \text{$\mathbf{F}^{\star}(\mathbf{E}_{1})$} \leq \text{$\mathbf{F}(\cup \mathbf{S}_{k})$} \leq \left[\text{$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{S}_{k})$} < \beta_{1} \right] \lambda(\mathbf{S}_{k}) \\ & = \beta_{1} \int_{\cup \mathbf{S}_{k}} \left[\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{S}_{k}) d\lambda \leq \beta_{1} \sigma \lambda(\cup \mathbf{S}_{k}) \leq \beta_{1} \sigma \lambda(\mathbf{S}_{0,2T}). \right] \end{split}$$

Taking $\beta_1 > 0$ small enough, we have $F^*(E_1) < \varepsilon/2$. In the same way, we have $\lambda^*(E_2) \le F(R^d)\sigma/\beta_2 = \sigma/\beta_2$. Taking β_2 large enlugh, we can make $\lambda^*(E_2)$ small enough and, by the absolute continuity of F with respect to λ , $F^*(E_2) < \varepsilon/2$. The lemma is proved.

Fix
$$\delta \epsilon(0,1/2\sigma)$$
 and assume that $h = h_n \epsilon(0,1)$. Set
$$G_n^* = \{x \in S_{0,T}; F_n(S_{x,h}) < \delta F(S_{x,h})\}. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 3. Suppose that F has a density f, $h = h_n \epsilon(0,1)$ and $nn^r \to \infty$. Then for any given $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$P\{F^*(G_n^*) \geq \varepsilon\} < e^{-C_1 n}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, there exist $x_k \in G_n^*$ and $S_k = S_{x_k,h}$ such that $G_n^* \subset \bigcup_K S_k \stackrel{\Delta}{=} G$, $\sum_K I(S_k) \leq \sigma$. Partition R^r into sets with the form $\prod_{j=1}^r \{(i_j-1)eh, i_jeh), \text{ where } i_1, \ldots, i_r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \text{ and } e \text{ is a fixed constant to be chosen later. Call the partition } \Phi$, and write

$$\Phi' = \{B \in \Phi: B \subset S_{0,2T}\},$$

$$\tilde{G} = \bigcup_{B \subset G, B \in \Phi} B, \quad \tilde{S}_{0,1} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} [-1+e,1-e),$$

$$C_{\mathbf{x}_{k}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} S_{k} - U_{\mathbf{B} \epsilon \Phi, \mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{S}_{k}} \stackrel{B}{=} \mathbf{x}_{k} + h(S_{0,1} - S_{0,1})$$

$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} C_{\mathbf{x}_{k}}^{*}.$$

Then

$$\lambda(C_{\mathbf{x}_{k}}^{*}) = h^{r}\lambda(S_{0,1}^{-S_{0,1}}) = (2h)^{r}[1-(1-e)^{r}]$$

 $\leq re\lambda(S_{k}).$

Since

$$G - \widetilde{G} \subset U_k(S_k - U_{B \in \Phi}, B \subset S_k^B) \subset U_kC_{x_k}^*$$

we see that

$$\lambda(G-G) \leq \sum_{k} \lambda(C_{\mathbf{x}_{k}}^{*}) \leq re \sum_{k} \lambda(S_{k})$$

$$\leq re \int_{\cup S_{k}} \sum_{k} I(S_{k}) d\lambda \leq re \sigma \lambda(\cup_{k} S_{k})$$

$$\leq re \sigma \lambda(S_{0.2T}).$$

Hence we can choose e small enough to render $\lambda(G-G)$ small enough and $\tilde{F}(G-G) < \epsilon/4$. By (8) and the fact that $\sigma\delta < 1/2$, we get

$$F_{n}(\tilde{G}) \leq F_{n}(G) \leq \sum_{k} F_{n}(S_{k}) < \delta \sum_{k} F(S_{k})$$

$$= \delta \int_{US_{k}} \sum_{k} I(S_{k}) dF \leq \delta \sigma F(US_{k}) = \delta \sigma F(G)$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}F(G).$$

Therefore

$$F(\tilde{G}) - F_n(\tilde{G}) > F(G) - \epsilon/4 - \frac{1}{2}F(G) = \frac{1}{2}F(G) - \epsilon/4$$

and

$$F^*(G_n^*) \ge \varepsilon$$
 implies $F(\tilde{G}) - F_n(\tilde{G}) > \varepsilon/4$.

For any $H \subset \Phi'$, we write $UH = U_{BeH}B$. Then

$$\{F^*(G_n^*) \ge \varepsilon\} \subset \bigcup_{H \subset \Phi} \{F(UH) - F_n(UH) > \varepsilon/4\}.$$

Assume that $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. By Hoeffding's inequality,

$$\sup_{A} P\{F(A) - F_n(A) > \varepsilon/4\} \leq \sup_{A} \exp\{-n(\varepsilon/4)^2/[2F(A) + \varepsilon/4]\}$$

$$\leq \exp\{-n\varepsilon^2/[16(2+\varepsilon/4)]\} \leq \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/36)$$

 $\leq \exp\{-n\epsilon^2/[16(2+\epsilon/4]\} \leq \exp(-n\epsilon^2/36).$ Noticing that $\#\{H:H \in \Phi'\} \leq 2$ and $h^{-r} = o(n)$, we get

$$P\{F^*(G_n^*) \ge \varepsilon\} \le 2^{C_0 h^{-r}} Sup_A P\{F(A) - F_n(A) \ge \varepsilon/4\}$$

$$\le exp(-C_1 n),$$

and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. Suppose that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |g(x)|^p F(dx) < \infty$ for some p > 0, then

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \int_{S_{x,h}} |g(u)-g(x)|^p F(du)/F(S_{x,h}) = 0$$

for almost all x(with respect to F).

Refer to Wheeden and Zygmund (1977), p. 191, example 20.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Assume that $|Y| \le M$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\rho_0 = 1$ in (5)(iii). It is enough to prove that for each fixed T > 0,

$$P\{\int_{S_{0,T}}|Q_n(x) - Q(x)|F(dx) > \varepsilon\} < e^{-cn}.$$
(9)

By Lemma 2, there exist $\beta_1 = \beta_1(\varepsilon)$, $\beta_2 = \beta_2(\varepsilon)$ and a compact set $E \subset E^*$ such that $F(S_{0,T}-E) < \varepsilon/8M$, wher E^* is defined by (7). Hence

$$\int_{S_{0,T}-E} |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| F(dx) \le 2MF(S_{0,T}-E) < \epsilon/4.$$

Fix $\delta \in (0,1/2\sigma)$. By Lemma 3, there exists a compact set H_n such that

$$H_n \subset \{x \in S_{0,T} \colon F_n(S_{x,h}) \ge \delta F(S_{x,h})\}, \tag{10}$$

and

$$P\{F(S_{0,T}^{-H} - H_n) \ge \varepsilon/(8M)\} < e^{-C_1^n}$$
(11)

Hence

$$P\{\int_{S_{0,T}^{-H}_{n}} |Q_{n}(x) - Q(x)|F(dx) > \epsilon/4\} < e^{-C_{1}n}.$$

Therefore, we need only to prove that

$$P\{\int_{H_{n}\cap E} |Q_{n}(x) - Q(x)| F(dx) > \varepsilon/2\} < e^{-C_{2}n}.$$
 (12)

For $x \in H_n \cap E$, by (5)(iii), (7) and (10), we have

$$\int_{j=1}^{n} K(\frac{X_{j}-x}{h}) \ge n\alpha F_{n}(S_{x,h}) \ge n\alpha \delta F(S_{x,h})$$

$$\geq n\alpha\delta\beta_1^2_h^r$$
,

and $f(x) \le \beta_2$. Write $C_3 = \beta_2/(\alpha \delta \beta_1 2^r)$, we see that

$$\int_{H_{n}\cap E} |Q_{n}(x) - Q(x)|f(x)dx$$

$$\leq C_{3}(nh^{r})^{-1}\int_{H_{n}\cap E} |\int_{i=1}^{n} K(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h})(Y_{i}^{-Q(x)})|dx.$$

There exist finite positive constants m, a_1, \ldots, a_m and disjoint regular cubes A_1, \ldots, A_m such that $K^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I_{A_i}(x)$ satisfies i=1

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |K(x) - K^*(x)| dx < \varepsilon/(8C_3M).$$

Here a regular cube means a r-fold product of one-dimensional compact intervals.

Thus

$$P\{(nh^{r})^{-1}\}_{H_{n} \cap E} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (K(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h}) - K^{*}(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h}))(Y_{i}^{-Q(x)}) \mid dx$$

$$\leq 2C_{3}M(nh^{r})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |K(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h}) - K^{*}(\frac{X_{i}^{-x}}{h}) \mid dx$$

$$\leq 2C_{3}M \int |K(x) - K^{*}(x)| dx < \epsilon/4.$$

Take $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/(4C_3)$. To prove (12), it is enough to prove that

$$P\{(nh^r)^{-1}\int_{H_n\cap E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa\left(\frac{X_i-x}{h}\right)(Y_i-Q(x))\right| dx > \epsilon_1\}$$

$$< e^{-C_2 n}.$$

It is sufficient for any $\epsilon_2 > 0$ and any regular cube A to prove that

$$P\{(nh^{r})^{-1}\int_{H_{n}\cap E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{x+hA}(X_{i})(Y_{i} - Q(X_{i})) \right| dx \ge \varepsilon_{2} \}$$

$$< e^{-C_{3}n}.$$
(13)

and

$$P\{(nh^{r})^{-1}\int_{H_{n}\cap E} | \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{x+hA}(X_{i})(Q(X_{i})-Q(x)|dx \ge \varepsilon_{2})$$

$$< e^{-C_{3}n}.$$
(14)

We proceed to prove (13). To this end, we construct the pratition Φ of R^r mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3. Assume that $A = \prod_{i=1}^r [x_i, x_i + a_i]$ and $\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i + e_i, x_i + a_i] = 0$. As $\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i + e_i, x_i + a_i] = 0$. As $\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i + e_i, x_i + a_i] = 0$. As $\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i + e_i, x_i + a_i] = 0$. As $\prod_{i=1}^r [x_i + e_i, x_i + a_i] = 0$.

$$C_{x} = x + hA - A_{x} = x + h(A - A) = C_{x}^{*}$$

It is easy to see that we can make $\lambda(A-A)$ arbitrarily small by choosing e small enough. We have

$$(nh^{r})^{-1} \int_{H_{n} \cap E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{x+hA}(X_{i})(Y_{i}-Q(X_{i})) dx \right|$$

$$\leq (nh^{r})^{-1} \int_{H_{n} \cap E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{A_{x}}(X_{i})(Y_{i}-Q(X_{i})) dx \right|$$

$$+ 2Mh^{-r} \int_{H_{n} \cap E} \int_{B \in \Phi, B \subseteq x+hA} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})(Y_{i}-Q(X_{i})) dx \right|$$

$$\leq (nh^{r})^{-1} \int_{H_{n} \cap E} \int_{B \in \Phi, B \subseteq x+hA} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})(Y_{i}-Q(X_{i})) dx \right|$$

$$+ 2M\lambda(A-A).$$

$$(15)$$

Here we use the fact that $\int v(x+hD)dx = h^r\lambda(D)$ for any r-dimensional probability measure v and any Borel set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^r$. We can choose e such that $2M\lambda(A-A) < \varepsilon_2/2$. Note that for $B \in \Phi$, $\lambda\{x.B \subseteq x+hA\} \leq C_4 h^r$, and $\bigcup_{x \in H_n \cap E} \{x+hA\} \subset S_{0,2T}$ for small h. Hence, for large n, we have

$$(15) \leq C_4 n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_B(X_i) (Y_i - Q(X_1)) + \varepsilon_2/2.$$

Set $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_2/(4C_4)$. To prove (13), we need only to prove that

$$P\{\left(\sum_{B\in\Phi} \left| \int_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} - n \right|_{B} Q(x)dF \right| \ge n\varepsilon_{3}\} < e^{-C_{5}n},$$
(16)

$$P\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) - n \int_{B} Q(x) d\hat{F}\{ \ge n\varepsilon_{3} \} < e^{-C_{5}n}.$$
 (17)

Let N be a Poisson random variable with mean value n, which is independent of $(X_1,Y_1),(X_2,Y_2),\ldots$. In the sequel, we use $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} for \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B dx$. Notice

That B's are disjoint, we see that for $t_{B} \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\{\exp\!\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}) \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}} \right\} \\ & = \int_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\ell} e^{-n}}{\ell \, !} \left[\mathbb{E}\{\exp(\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X}_{1}) \mathbf{Y}_{1} \right\} \right]^{\ell} \\ & = \exp\{n \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X}_{1}) \left(e^{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{Y}_{1}} - 1\right) \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

So that, $\{ | \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} - n | B Q(x)dF |, B \in \Phi' \}$ is a group of mutually independent

variables. Set

$$Z(B,N) = \int_{i=1}^{N} I_B(X_i)Y_i - n \int_{B} Q(x)dF.$$

for t > 0, notice that $e^{t} - t \ge e^{-t} + t$, we have

$$P\{\int |Z(B,N)| \ge \frac{1}{2} n \epsilon_3\} \le \exp(-\frac{1}{2} t n \epsilon_3) \operatorname{Eexp}(t) \int |Z(B,N)|$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2} t n \epsilon_3) \prod_{B \in \Phi} \operatorname{E}\{\exp(t|Z(B,N)|)\}$$

$$\leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\tan\epsilon_3)\Pi_{B\in\Phi}[E\exp(tZ(B,N) + E\exp(-tZ(B,N))]$$

 $= \exp(-\frac{1}{2} tn \epsilon_3) \prod_{B \in \Phi} \{\exp[nEI_B(X_1)(e^{-1} - tY_1 - 1)]$ (18)

+
$$\exp[nEI_B(X_1)(e^{-tY_1}+tY_1-1)]$$

 $\leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\tan \epsilon_3) \prod_{B \in \Phi} \{2\exp[nEI_B(X_1)(e^{t|Y_1|} - t|Y_1|-1)]\}$

$$\leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}tn\epsilon_3)2^{C_0h^{-r}}\exp\{n\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}E[I_{B}(X_1)(e^{tM}-tM-1)]\}$$

$$\leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tne}_3)e^{o(n)}\exp\{n(e^{tM}-tM-1)\}.$$

Take $t \in (0,1/M)$, we see that $e^{tM} - tM - 1 \le t^2M^2$. Hence, we can take t > 0 such that

$$P\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} - n \int_{B} Q(x)dF\} \ge \frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon_{3}\} < e^{-C_{6}n}.$$
 (19)

Write $\Delta = (X_1, X_2, ...)$. By Jensen's inequality, for t > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} & P\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) - n \int_{B} Q(x)dF | \geq \frac{1}{2}n\epsilon_{3} \} \\ & = P\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} |E(Z(B,N)|\Delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2}n\epsilon_{3} \} \\ & \leq P\{E(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |Z(B,N)||\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{2}n\epsilon_{3} \} \\ & \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}tn\epsilon_{3})E\{\exp(tE[\sum_{i=1}^{N} |Z(B,N)||\Delta])\} \\ & \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}tn\epsilon_{3})E\{E[\exp(t\sum_{i=1}^{N} |Z(B,N)||\Delta])\} \\ & = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}tn\epsilon_{3})E[\exp(t\sum_{i=1}^{N} |Z(B,N)||\Delta]) \}. \end{split}$$

By (18) and (19), we can take t > 0 such that

$$P\{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) - n \int_{B}Q(x)dF\right\} \ge \frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon_{3}\} < e^{-C_{6}n}.$$
 (20)

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} \le M|N-n|,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) \le M|N-n|,$$

we have

$$P\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i})Y_{i} | \geq \frac{1}{2}n\epsilon_{3} \}$$

$$\leq P\{ |N-n| \geq n\epsilon_{3}/(2M) \} < e^{-C_{7}n},$$

$$P\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{B}(X_{i})Q(X_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{B}(X_{i}) | \geq \frac{1}{2}n\epsilon_{3} \}$$

$$= C_{7}n$$
(21)

From (19) - (22), (16) and (17) follows, and (13) is proved. It remains to prove (14). To this end, we need only to prove

$$P\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z(X_i) \ge n \varepsilon_2 \right\} \le e^{-C_3 n}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$Z(u) = h^{-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} I_{x+hA}(u) |Q(u) - Q(x)| dx$$

$$\leq 2Mh^{-r} \int I_A(\frac{u-x}{h}) dx = 2M\lambda(A) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} C_8$$
(24)

Hence, we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z(X_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z(X_{i}) \right| \leq C_{8} |N-n|,$$

and

$$P\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z(X_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z(X_{i}) | \geq \frac{1}{2} n \epsilon_{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq P\left\{ |N-n| \geq n \epsilon_{2} / (2C_{8}) \right\} < e^{-C_{9}n}. \tag{25}$$

For t > 0, we have

$$P\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z(X_{i}) > \frac{1}{2} n \epsilon_{2} \right\} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t n \epsilon_{2}\right) E\left\{\exp\left(t \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z(X_{i})\right)\right\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} n t \epsilon_{2} + n \int (e^{tZ(u)} - 1) F(du)\right\}.$$
(26)

Take $t \in (0,1/C_8)$. By $0 \le tZ(u) \le 1$ we get

$$n \left| (e^{tZ(u)} - 1)F(du) \right| \leq 2nt \left| Z(u)F(du) \right|$$
 (27)

Take $\rho > 0$ so large that A $\subset S_{0,\rho}$. Then, by Lemma 4, we have

$$Z(u) = h^{-r} \int_{u-hA} |m(x) - m(u)| dx$$

$$\leq \lambda(S_{0,\rho}) \int_{S_{u,h\rho}} |m(x) - m(u)| dx/\lambda(S_{u,h\rho})$$

 \rightarrow 0 as h \rightarrow 0, for almost all $x(\lambda)$.

In view of (24), from the dominated convergence theorem, we see that

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \int Z(u)F(du) = 0.$$
 (28)

By (26) - (28), we can take t > 0 sufficiently small such that

$$P\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z(X_i) > \frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon_2 \right\} \leq \exp(-\frac{1}{2}nt\varepsilon_2 + o(nt))$$

$$< e^{-C_{10}n}.$$

From (25) and (29), we obtain (23), and (14) follows. Up to now, the theorem is proved.

REFERNCES

- [1] Devroye, L.P. (1981). On the Almost Everywhere Convergence of Non-parametric Regression Function Estimates. <u>Ann. Statist.</u>, 9, 1310-1319.
- [2] Devroye, L.P. and Wagner, T.J. (1980I). Distribution Free Consistency Results in Nonparametric Discriminatin and Regression Function Estimation. Ann. Statist., 8, 231-239.
- [3] Devroye, L.P. and Wagner, T.J. (1980II). On the L. Convergence of Kernel Estimators of Regression Functions With Applications in Discriminatin. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 51, 15-25.
- [4] Greblicki, W., Krzyak, A. and Pawlak, M. (1984). Distribtuion-free Pointwise Consistency of Kernel Regression Estimate. <u>Ann. Statist.</u>, 12, 1570-1575.
- [5] Nadaraya, E.A. (1964). On Estimating Regression. Theor. Probability and Appl., 9, 141-142.
- [6] Spiegelman, C. and Sacks, J. (1980). Consistent Window Estimation in Nonparametric Regression. Ann. Statist., 8, 240-246.
- [7] Watson, G.S. (1964). Smooth Regression Analysis. Sankhya, Ser. A, 26, 359-372.
- [8] Wheeden, R.L. and Zygmund, A. Measure and Integral, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977.

[9] Zhao, Lincheng and Fang, Zhaoben (1985). Strong Convergence of Kernel Estimates of Nonparametric Regression Functions. Chin. Ann. of Math., Ser. B, 6, 147-155.

6-86